

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/715,592	Applicant(s) WEDER, DONALD E.	
	Examiner Francis T. Palo	Art Unit 3644	

All Participants:

(1) Francis T. Palo.

(2) Kathryn L. Hester (Representative).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 May 2005

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

obvious type non-statutory double-patenting

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

BE654427, US5228234 and Applicant's Statement of Prior Art

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Francis T. Palo
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's arguments to the non-final Office Action mailed 9/30/04 being convincing, the Applicant's representative was contacted by the Examiner on 5/9/05 to discuss prosecution compression in anticipation of an obvious type non-statutory double-patenting rejection of instant claims 1-5 over 5,640,805 in view of 4,621,733..